

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/733,306	REDMOND, SANFORD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen F. Gerrity	3721	

All Participants:

(1) Mr. Ringel (app. rep.).

(2) Mr. Gerrity (ex.).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

13-21

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the ex. contacted app. rep. to inquiry about canceling claims 10-21 as they are not directed to the originally claimed invention. The ex. indicated to app. rep. that claims 1 and 3-9 are allowable. App. rep. did not want to authorize cancellation of claims 10-21. The ex. stated that a 1 month letter would be sent to app. setting forth the basis for the holding of constructive election. .